

## ADV. BRINK

HE IS AN ADVOCATE, HE APPLIED TO  
 WASH (LEGAL ADV) FOR ONE ADVISORY POSITION  
 2 WERE AVAILABLE. HE WAS SHORTLISTED  
 W/ 4 OTHER CANDIDATES. ONE CANDIDATE  
 GOT 1 DURBAN POST, AND SOMEHOW HE  
 WAS OF 1 IMPRESSION HE HAD GOTTEN 1  
 PILTLER MERTZBURG POSI. 1 2 OTHER  
 CANDIDATES RECEIVED REGRET LETTERS. ADV  
 BRINK RECEIVED A LETTER NOT EXPLAINING  
 WHY HE WAS REJECTED.

- HE REQ REJCTG LETTERS SENT 2 OTHER  
 CANDIDATES

- RECRUITMENT POLICY DOCUMENT.

- HE HAS A CASE AT LABOR CRT AGAINST  
 WASH FOR UNFAIR DISCRIMINATION.

- 10 - VEDAVANKAR - DIO - NAIR

- ADV. BRINK took PROVINCIAL OFFICE TO  
 INTERNAL APPEAL FOR CUBING HIS FILE ON 1 VLEW  
 THAT IT FELL OUTSIDE 1 SCOPE OF 1  
 COMMISSION.